#### Orange Cyberdefense

# Virtually Private Networks

## Virtually good enough

Wicus Ross Charl van der Walt







# black hat USA 2020

#### AUGUST 5-6, 2020 Briefings

#BHUSA @BLACKHATEVENTS

# **1. Introduction**











# domain.com, in the living room, with a candlestick

#### 

SymIRON	Name	Туре	Data
E SYMNETS	BE EnableDHCP	REG_DWORD	0x00000001 (1)
	ab Domain	REG SZ	(value not set)
🗄 🗀 SysMain	ab) NameServer	REG SZ	(value not set)
in Sysmon	(ab) DhcplPAddress	REG_SZ	172.21.1.233
SysmonDrv	ab) DhcpSubnetMask	REG_SZ	255.255.240.0
SysPlant	ab) DhcpServer	REG_SZ	172.21.0.1
SystemEventsBroker	E Lease	REG_DWORD	0x00015180 (86400)
TabletInputService	E LeaseObtainedTime	REG DWORD	0x000A8D65 (691557)
	I T1	REG DWORD	0x000B3625 (734757)
	BE T2	REG_DWORD	0x000BB4B5 (767157)
Linkage	BU LeaseTerminatesTime		
- Parameters		REG_DWORD	0x000BDEE5 (777957)
	AddressType	REG_DWORD	0x0000000 (0)
DNSRegisteredAdapters	10 IsServerNapAware	REG_DWORD	0x00000000 (0)
	B DhcpConnForceBroadcastFlag	REG_DWORD	0x00000000 (0)
	ab DhcpNetworkHint	REG_SZ	051627B60205C616A7160265963647F6279616
	B DhcpInterfaceOptions	REG_BINARY	FC 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
	ab DhcpDefaultGateway	REG_MULTI_SZ	172.21.0.1
	ablDhcnNameServer	REG SZ	88888844
559168e0-b50d-4291-8415-6859378e2ffa}	DhcpDomain	REG_SZ	domain.com
	Land Dup SubnetiviaskOpt	KEG_MULTI_SZ	200.200.240.0
	B DhcpGatewayHardware	REG_BINARY	AC 15 00 01 06 00 00 00 06 1F D4 05 47 3A
	B DhcpGatewayHardwareCount	REG_DWORD	0x00000001 (1)
65D473838323432353E203			
	00 64 00 6F 00 6D 00 61 00-69 00 6E	00 2E 00 63 00	d.o.m.a.i.n.,.c.
[67a6644f-52d1-4295-bcd7-3c557bef2e9c]	10 6F 00 6D 00 00 00		0 ·m · · ·

## The curious case of the outbound 445

Failed to establish a network connection.

Error: {Device Timeout} The specified I/O operation on %hs was not completed before the time-out period expired.

Server name: PRINTER-HQ Server address: 66.96.162.92:445

Instance name: \Device\LanmanRedirector Connection type: Wsk

Guidance: This indicates a problem with the underlying network or transport, such as with TCP/IP, and

Log Name: Microsoft-Windows-SMBClient/Connectivity



# What should we expect from a VPN?

#### Confidentiality

Prevent sensitive or private information from being intercepted or deduced.

#### Integrity

Ensure that data and messages are not modified or interfered with.

#### Access Control

Ensure that only authenticated users are permitted to access the systems and resources they are specifically authorized for.





# 2. Research Proposal



# **VPN over Wi-Fi – Specific threat scenarios**

Sniffing sensitive data

DNS 'Person in the Middle' (PiTM) or spoofing

Harvesting credentials using spoofed website

**Capturing Windows hashes via Responder** 

Using the Browser as a tunnelling proxy

Using IPv6 to interact with host

# Approach

- General testing to understand the relevant mechanics and validate PoC
- Validate working assumptions

Tested, in no order...

- Define a reasonable 'Target Security Model'
- Create a standardized test plan and Wi-Fi environment with Captive Portal
- Repeat standard tests of the equivalent capabilities for 'default' and 'lockdown' configurations
- Engage with vendors for validation and comment

Cisco	Pulse Secure	Checkpoint	Fortinet	Palo Alto Network
Cisco ASA with AnyConnect	Pulse Connect Secure Pulse Secure 9.1R1 Build 1505 - Server Pulse Secure VPN version 9.1.1	Check Point VPN Check Point R80.30 - Server Check Point VPN E81.40 Build	Fortigate with FortiClient	PAN-OS Global Protect
	(607) - Client	986101104 - Client	FortiClient 6.4.0.1464 – Client	GlobalProtect 5.1.4 - Client

#### bit.ly/orangevpn

# bit.ly/orangevpn



If a VPN is the logical extension of a private network to another location, and if we assume that the 'other location' is a Wi-Fi network that is either compromised or malicious, how much protection do enterprise VPN products provide against common threats we could reasonably expect to encounter?

**Fundamental research question** 



# 3. Technical concepts



# **<u>Captured</u>** - How Captive Portals work

- Connect to Wi-Fi
- Assign network settings via DHCP
- Test for Internet access
- Captive portal intercepts HTTP request and issues an HTTP response. Typically an HTTP 302 response that redirect to the captive portal's web interface
- OS determines if the user should be prompted to interact with the captive portal and spawns a browser (default or dedicated)
- Captive portal redirects the browser to the URL that the OS initially used for testing
- OS continues to check whether it can access the Internet. Waits for a successful HTTP 200 response.
- OS signals the user visually when Internet access is enabled



# **Captured – DNS & DHCP**



- DHCP packets are probably among the first to be broadcast when a guest joins a network
- Guest solicits configuration by a DHCP Discovery packet
- Guest already discloses its host name and possibly vendor identifier in subsequent DHCP Request
- DHCP seeds network configuration
  - IP details
  - DNS
  - Domain Name (option 15)
  - Search Suffix (option 119)
  - Routing
  - Proxy Auto Discover
  - MTU, etc
- If the client stacks is IPv6 enabled (dual stack) then certain IPv6 network settings can be provided via DHCP also

# **VPNs and Split Tunneling**

- VPN is configured, once connected, to route specific network requests through the VPN tunnel
- Other traffic follows according to the default network routing rules.
- Done so that only traffic destined for the corporate network is encrypted and subject to access control, while regular local network or internet-bound traffic flowing outside the VPN tunnel.
- To allow access to resources on the local network while retaining performance when accessing the public Internet.
- Lessens the amount of traffic traversing the corporate network



# Wi-Fi and IPv6

- IPv6 enjoys preference in some network stacks
- IPv6 has to broadcast communicate to discover the lay of the land – neighbour solicitation and router solicitation
- There is no ARP in IPv6 replaced by ICMPv6
- Guest OS also broadcast identification information about itself when asking for DHCPv6 details
- DHCPv6 also supports concepts for Domain Search List and FQDN
- IPv6 is often overlooked and results in dual stack deployments by default
- Firewall rules and VPN rules at IPv4 level does not apply to IPv6



# Captive Portal 'mitigation' or 'lock down' mode

#### ♥ Options:

Name	Value
Allow user to override connection policy Allows user to modify connection state.	
Lock down this connection Network access is limited until this connection is established. This option is available only when the Always-or Client option on the connection set is checked.	
Support Remote Access (Connect Secure) or LAN Access (Policy Secure) on this connection Uncheck only if the connection is not used for Connect Secure or Policy Secure services (e.g Server is used for Collaboration only).	
Enable Collaboration integration on this connection Applicable for Connect Secure type connections only. Leave this unchecked for Policy Secure type	0

**Lock** down mode is designed to prohibit network communication outside of the VPN Tunnel when the ... client is attempting to create a VPN connection to the ... [server].



# 'Lock down' mode experiences per product







# 4. Research & Findings



# **'Lock down' mode features per product**

	VPN 1	VPN 2	VPN 3	VPN 4	VPN 5
СРМВ	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X	×	
Vulnerable outbound traffic blocked*	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	
Outbound allow list configurable	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	
DNS Cache Flush	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
IPv6 Disable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	
Captive Portal mitigation window times out	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×	
User can't accept bad certificate	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
User cannot disable agent	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	

\* e.g. SMB, LDAP, NETBIOS bit.ly/**orangevpn** 



## Do VPNs do what we expect them to do?

#### Confidentiality

- 1. How much unsolicited network traffic is broadcast by the guest while associated with the local network of the AP?
- 2. What role does dynamic network configuration fields such as connection specific DNS suffixes play in leaking network traffic?
- 3. How much network traffic is leaked to the local network of the AP while connected to the VPN?

#### Integrity

- 1. Are the client applications on roaming device vulnerable to person-in-the-middle attacks via the LAN?
- 2. How resilient are roaming devices against credential theft?

#### Access Control

 Can attackers use guests on the malicious free Wi-Fi to tunnel over the VPN into the corporate network?





# **Test configuration**



# **Test Approach**

	Standard Mode	'Lock down' mode
Captured	<ul> <li>No Internet access</li> <li>Most like off the shelf VPN config</li> <li>Split tunnelling inactive since there's no Internet</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No Internet access</li> <li>Best possible working VPN config</li> <li>Full tunnelling inactive since there's no Internet</li> </ul>
Online	<ul> <li>Internet access – VPN established</li> <li>Most like off the shelf VPN config</li> <li>Split tunnelling enabled unless specifically discouraged</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Internet access – VPN established</li> <li>Best possible working VPN config</li> <li>Full tunnelling</li> </ul>





- Our initial concerns about the failure of VPNs to protect machines in captive portals all hold true.
- Even once fully established, a carelessly configured VPN barely does better at mitigating the identified threats.
- 'Lock down' features that are intended to 'mitigate' the captive portal problems do indeed address some issues, but are not universally effective in mitigating the full set of threats we considered.
  - The findings are **not consistent across all vendors**, so vendor selection does matter.

## **Demo – Responder attack from Captive Portal in lock down mode**



charlvdwalt

wicusross











B Applications Places @ wireshark Jul 5 23:08	jii 1 후 🔩 🖯
root@host: ~/projects/Responder Q ≡ _ □ ×	*wlan0 _ O X
root@host: ~/projects/Responder × root@host: /media/root/Untitled/respon	File       Edit       View       Go       Capture       Analyze       Statistics       Telephony       Wireless       Tools       Help         Image: Image
<pre>[*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name proxysrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name proxysrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name proxysrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name proxysrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name ProxySrv.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name BLACKSDFSDFHAT (service: File Server) [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name blacksdfsdfhat.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name blacksdfsdfhat.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name blacksdfsdfhat.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name blacksdfsdfhat.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name blacksdfsdfhat.local [*] [MDNS] Poisoned answer sent to 192.168.87.250 for name black</pre>	Smb2         Construction         Processor           514         85.357421522         192.168.87.252         192.168.87.250         SM           515         85.38304135         192.168.87.252         192.168.87.250         SM           517         85.384654612         192.168.87.252         192.168.87.250         SM           517         85.384654612         192.168.87.252         192.168.87.250         SM           520         85.419350046         192.168.87.252         192.168.87.250         SM           520         85.419350046         192.168.87.250         192.168.87.252         SM           540         87.456554274         192.168.87.250         192.168.87.252         SM           542         87.457724344         192.168.87.250         192.168.87.250         SM           543         87.460630727         192.168.87.250         192.168.87.250         SM           545         87.462263305         192.168.87.250         192.168.87.250         SM           546         87.465149985         192.168.87.250         192.168.87.250         SM           546         88.566027154         192.168.87.250         192.168.87.250         SM           548         85.66810791         192.168.87.250
<pre>[SMB] NTLMv2-SSP Client : 192.168.87.250 [SMB] NTLMv2-SSP Username : DESKTOP-00KTISB\user1 [SMB] NTLMv2-SSP Hash : user1::DESKTOP-00KTISB:685cfe23fc357312:A125C80C97407557395E53 90C32DB249:010100000000000000050653150DE09D2017F1A842C76E6B00B000000000200080053004D004200330 001001E00570049004E002D00500052004800340039003200520051004100460056000400140053004D0042003 3002E006C006F00630061006C0003003400570049004E002D005000520048003400390032005200510041004600 056002E0053004D00420033002E006C006F00630061006C000500140053004D00420033002E006C006F0063006 1006C0007000800C0653150DE09D201060004000200000080030003000000000000000000</pre>	<pre>     Frame 514: 291 bytes on wire (2328 bits), 291 bytes captured (2328 bits) on interf ▲     Ethernet II, Src: IntelCor_49:93:54 (10:0b:a9:49:93:54), Dst: Tp-LinkT_18:da:da (e     Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 192.168.87.252, Dst: 192.168.87.250     Transmission Control Protocol, Src Port: 445, Dst Port: 58864, Seq: 1, Ack: 74, Le     WetBIOS Session Service     Message Type: Session message (0x00)     Length: 233     V     OOOO e8 de 27 18 da da 10 0b a9 49 93 54 08 00 45 00 · ' ITTE:     OO10 01 15 66 5c 40 00 40 06 a2 3f c0 a8 57 fc c0 a8 · f\@·@· ?·W···     OO20 57 fa 01 bd e5 f0 c4 12 c8 a6 90 3c 02 21 50 18 W··················     OO30 01 f6 c4 d9 00 00 00 00 e9 fe 53 4d 42 40 00 ················SMB@·     SMB2toco Packets: 620 · Displayed: 18 (2.9%) · Dropped: 0 (0.0%) Profile: Default </pre>

# **Demo – Responder attack fully connected in lock down mode**



	🗖 Capturing from Wi-Fi — 🗆 📉
Recycle Bin trac nmap-7.70	File Edit View Go Capture Analyze Statistics Telephony Wireless Tools Help
active sale and and another sale	🗶 📕 🧟 🕘 🔚 🕅 🖄 🔄 🗣 👳 🐨 🕸 🚍 🗮 🔍 🔍 🔍 🖽
	dns.gry.name == blacksdfsdfhat.tokelosh.net    smb2
💭 😘 е	No. Time Source Destination Protocol Length Info
	243-3710/0015 1351100-01-120 1351100-01-11 DU2 01-21010010 diel A. Ortizo X. Diarkzinizni liar reneration lier
Google cert test_js Chrome	4 250 52.879879     192.168.87.1     192.168.87.250     DNS     103 Standard query response 0xc736 A blacksdfsdfhat.tokelosh.net A 192.168.87.252     298 54.352270     192.168.87.252     192.168.87.250     SMB2     291 Negotiate Protocol Response
	295 54.35247 192.168.87.252 192.168.87.252 SMB2 294 Negotiate Protocol Response
	301 54.376216 192.168.87.252 192.168.87.250 SMB2 291 Negotiate Protocol Response
	302 54.378553 192.168.87.250 192.168.87.252 SMB2 220 Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_NEGOTIATE
PUTTY	304 54.381487 192.168.87.252 192.168.87.250 SMB2 392 Session Setup Response, Error: STATUS_MORE_PROCESSING_REQUIRED, NTLMSSP_CHALLE 305 54.382331 192.168.87.250 192.168.87.252 SMB2 721 Session Setup Request, NTLMSSP_AUTH, User: DESKTOP-00KT1SB\user1
2	315 55.399891 192.168.87.252 192.168.87.250 SMB2 201 Marchide Particul Parameters
<b>.</b>	
Microsoft	318 55.405096 192.168.87.252 192.168.87.250 SMB2 Microsoft Windows [Version 10.0.18362.592]  Ethernet II, Src: Tp-LinkT_18:da:da (e8:de:27:18:da:da), Dst: Routerbo(c) 2019 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved.
Edge	> Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 192.168.87.250, Dst: 192.168.87.1
	> User Datagram Protocol, Src Port: 54885, Dst Port: 53 C:\Users\user1>ipconfig /displaydns > Domain Name System (query)
No.	Transaction ID: 0xc736     Windows IP Configuration
vinlogbeat custom VPN	> Flags: 0x0100 Standard query
	Questions: 1 Assume RR: 0
	Author has b
	Additional RRs: 0
understell store delivation of the second store	V Queries
winbox64 trac.defaults cpmsi_tool	Diacksdrisdrhat.tokelosn.net: type A, class IN
	Name: blacksofsdfhat 0000 d4 ca 6d 20 de al e8 de 27 18 da da 08 00 45 00 ······························
	0010 00 49 66 eb 00 00 80 11 a3 6c c0 a8 57 fa c0 a8 If ···································
	0020 57 01 d6 65 00 35 00 35 0c ff c7 36 01 00 00 01 W e 5 5 ··· 6·· Record Type : 1 0030 00 00 00 00 00 0e 62 6c 61 63 6b 73 64 66 73 ······· blacksd Time To Live
	0030 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
	0050 65 74 00 00 01 00 01 et Section : Answer
File Help	A (Host) Record : 192.168.87.252
Connections +	
browser_connection	C:\Users\user1>ipconfig /all
Connected	Windows IP Configuration
	O 7 Textitem (text), 33 bytes Host Name DESKTOP-00KT1SB
	Textitem (text), 33 bytes Host Name
	Node Type
	IP Routing Enabled : No WINS Proxy Enabled : No
	DNS Suffix Search List : srctestlab.com
	tokelosh.net
	Ethernet adapter Local Area Connection* 10:
	Connection-specific DNS Suffix . : srctestlab.com
	Description :
	Physical Address 92-05-85-7F-EB-80
	DHCP Enabled No Autoconfiguration Enabled : Yes
	Autoconfiguration enabled : res Link-local IPv6 Address : ress Link-local IPv6 Address : fe80:18c0f:492a:abb9:96e8%17(Preferred)

# **Observations**

- The number of configuration options when setting up a VPN and supporting infrastructure is overwhelming.
- Product packaging, licensing and offerings vary dramatically.
- Training, experience and support matters
- Configuration nuances and overloaded functionality can create all sorts of technical side effects
- Captive portal detection with 'Captive Portal Mini Browser' is not always consistent
- Some vendors have no specific 'lock down' mode, but rather a disparate set of features that need to be combined
- Mobile devices generally present viewer risks than desktops, provided that the VPN is established via mobile data *before* connecting to Wi-Fi
- Other OS present fewer risks than Windows because they strictly control the process and are simply less talkative.



# **5.** Conclusions





# **Overview of findings**

- We believe that the scenario where users are connecting via compromised home Wi-Fi or malicious public Wi-Fi is real and deserves a place on the enterprise Threat Model.
- Captive Portal is a common scenario, but not is not an essential attribute for the threats to be real. Compromised AP or home router is just as significant.
- We believe there is a reasonable expectation that the 'tunnel' a VPN creates should protect users against the threats we tested.
- Out-of-the box and common configurations generally do not address the threats identified when the AP is considered malicious.
- All the vendors assessed offer features to address malicious Wi-Fi and Captive Portal scenario.
- However the effectiveness of these offerings various substantially and erratically across the vendors.



# Recommendations

- Technical
  - Ensure you control and centralise all DNS settings.
  - Fully qualify internal host names.
  - Avoid split tunnelling if possible.
  - Be careful of session time-outs.
  - Use a firewall or EDP to block outgoing connections.

#### **Tactical**

- Carefully consider your use cases and threat model. Understand what security threats the security technology is supposed to address.
- Engage with your vendors.
- Examine your vendor choices carefully. Not all products address these risks equally.
- Consider some fresh paradigms, e.g. mobile data, or simple SSL with certificate pinning.
- 'Zero Trust'





# Thanks to the vendors of all kinds



### Orange Cyberdefense

# dankie





🤟 wicusross

s bit.ly/orangevpn



# Orange Cyberdefense