blackhat **USA 2024 AUGUST 7-8, 2024 BRIEFINGS**

PageJack: A Powerful Exploit Technique With Page-Level UAF

Speaker: Zhiyun Qian

Contributors: Jiayi Hu, Jinmeng Zhou, Qi Tang, Wenbo Shen 8/8/2024

Who we are

#BHUSA @BlackHatEvents

Zhiyun Qian

Jiayi Hu

Jinmeng Zhou **Qi Tang** Wenbo Shen

OS kernel exploits

Control flow hijack

Ex: corrupt function pointer \rightarrow return-oriented programming (ROP)

corrupted obj->func ptr() Arbitrary code

Data-only attacks

Ex: corrupt data pointer \rightarrow arbitrary read/write to modify key objects (e.g., cred) *corrupted obj->data ptr = val; Arbitrary data location

location

Control-flow integrity

| Subscribe | | Register

News from the source

Content

Weekly Edition Archives Search Kernel Security Events calendar Unread comments

LWN FAQ Write for us

Edition

Return to the Front page

Control-flow integrity for the kernel

Did you know...?

 \lfloor Log in \rfloor

Password:

LWN net is a subscriber-supported publication; we rely on subscribers to keep the entire operation going. Please help out by buying a subscription and keeping LWN on the net.

By Jake Edge January 22, 2020 **LCA**

User:

Control-flow integrity (CFI) is a technique used to reduce the ability to redirect the execution of a program's code in attacker-specified ways. The Clang compiler has some features that can assist in maintaining control-flow integrity, which have been applied to the Android kernel. Kees Cook gave a talk about CFI for the Linux kernel at the recently concluded linux.conf.au in Gold Coast, Australia.

Cook said that he thinks about CFI as a way to reduce the attack, or exploit, surface of the kernel. Most compromises of the kernel involve an attacker gaining execution control, typically using some kind of write flaw to change system memory. These write flaws come in many flavors, generally with some restrictions (e.g. can only write a single zero or only a set of fixed byte values), but in the worst case, they can be a "write anything anywhere at any time" flaw. The latter, thankfully, is relatively rare.

Data-only attack needed

Control-flow hijacking vs data-only attack

Data-only attacks

Previous data-only attacks

Corrupt

global variable, e.g., **modprobe_path**

heap variable, e.g., **cred**

Previous data-only attacks

丑

Corrupt

• KASLR bypass needed

- AAW capability needed
- **Protected by CONFIG_STATIC_USERMODEHELPER**

test@ubuntu:~/Desktop/nightswatch\$ build/nightswatch pipe2 ret 0 Found supported kernel offsets modprobe path: 0xfffffffff82e6e0a0 Spraying 300 chunks.. [+] Spraying 300 messages in kmalloc-96 DEBUG: diff: 0xfd0

[+] Found the matching qid of an adjacent msg_msg 899 DEBUG: Leak 2

DEBUG: diff: 0xfd0

KASLR bypass - modprobe path: 0xfffffffff82e6e0a0

test@ubuntu: ~/Desk

 $[+]$ Kernel version 5.13.0-23-generic #23-Ubuntu SMP Fri Nov 26 11:41:15 UTC 2021

global variable, e.g., **modprobe_path**

heap variable, e.g., **cred**

Previous data-only attack

Corrupt global variable, e.g., **modprobe_path** heap variable, e.g., **cred, file**

- Relative write (e.g., OOB) on **heap**
- AAW not needed

- Most vulnerabilities happen in **generic** caches. (UAF, Double Free, Out-of-bound write)
- Most critical heap objects are in **dedicated** caches.
- How to reach critical heap objects with relative writes?

- Cross-cache attack techniques vary by vulnerability type, e.g.,
- OOB: less reliable
- UAF: more reliable but not future-proof
- Cross-cache still a significant hurdle for exploits

OOB write:

Any way to edit the victim critical heap objects directly with the OOB write capability?

Page fengshui

Unreliable, low stability

Previous data-only attack: limited write capability

OOB write capability (few bytes etc..)

Pivoting to out-of-bound write to **double free** / **use after free:**

corrupt lower bits of heap data pointers

Ex: CVE-2021-22555: corrupt lower byte(s) of msg_msg->mlist->next to force an object to be double referenced.

Figure credit: Andy Nguyen #BHUSA @BlackHatEvents

Previous data-only attack: pivot OOB to UAF

Previous data-only attack: pivot OOB to UAF

Free the object once and create a dangling pointer \rightarrow UAF

Figure credit: Andy Nguyen #BHUSA @BlackHatEvents

- How to **overlap** the UAF object with the victim critical object?
- How to corrupt victim object without causing side effects?

UAF to privilege escalation

Two challenges

UAF to privilege escalation

Challenge Ⅰ: Bypass cache isolation

+config SLAB_VIRTUAL

- bool "Allocate slab objects from virtual memory"
- depends on SLUB && ! SLUB_TINY
- # If KFENCE support is desired, it could be implemented on top of our $\overline{+}$
- # virtual memory allocation facilities
- depends on !KFENCE $+$
- # ASAN support will require that shadow memory is allocated $\overline{+}$
- # appropriately.
- depends on !KASAN
- $\overline{+}$ help
	- Allocate slab objects from kernel-virtual memory, and ensure that
	- virtual memory used as a slab cache is never reused to store
	- objects from other slab caches or non-slab data.

Google new mitigation: CONFIG_SLAB_VIRTUAL

Cross cache attack

 $+$

 $+$

 $\overline{+}$

UAF to privilege escalation

Two challenges

- How to overlap the UAF object with the victim critical object? \bullet
- How to corrupt victim object without causing side effects?

UAF to privilege escalation

Challenge Ⅱ: avoid damaging other fields

Target field = Offset + **Field size**

UAF to privilege escalation

Challenge Ⅱ: avoid damaging other fields

UAF to privilege escalation

Challenge Ⅱ: avoid damaging other fields

UAF to privilege escalation

Challenge Ⅱ: avoid damaging other fields

Review typical kernel exploit steps

Avoid side effects

Review typical kernel exploit steps

New ideas?

Avoid side effects

Physical page freed, but still accessible Direct physical page read/write

Page UAF to the rescue

μ ae 2504: Linux >=6.4: io uring: page UAF via buffer ring mmap **Project Member**

Reported by jannh@google.com on Tue, Nov 28, 2023, 3:12 AM GMT+8

Since commit c56e022c0a27 ("io uring: add support for user mapped provided buffer ring"), landed in Linux 6.4, io uring makes it possible to allocate, mmap, and deallocate "buffer rings".

A "buffer ring" can be allocated with io uring register(..., IORING REGISTER PBUF RING, ...) and later deallocated with io uring register(..., IORING UNREGISTER PBUF RING, ...). It can be mapped into userspace using mmap() with offset IORING OFF PBUF RING ..., which creates a VM PFNMAP mapping, meaning the MM subsystem will treat the mapping as a set of opaque page frame numbers not associated with any corresponding pages; this implies that the calling code is responsible for ensuring that the mapped memory can not be freed before the userspace mapping is removed.

However, there is no mechanism to ensure this in io uring: It is possible to just register a buffer ring with IORING REGISTER PBUF RING, mmap() it, and then free the buffer ring's pages with IORING UNREGISTER PBUF RING, leaving free pages mapped into userspace, which is a fairly easily exploitable situation.

Freed physical page

Page UAF to the rescue

To derive Page UAF from different initial primitives

PageJack: a new exploit strategy

Step1: pivot to Page UAF Step2: spray victim objects Step3: corrupt victim objects

Step 1 Memory layout manipulation (OOB example): arrange the vulnerable object to be adjacent to the objects containing the *struct page*.*

struct pipe buffer { struct page *page; unsigned int offset, len; $const$ struct pipe buf operations $*$ ops; unsigned int flags; unsigned long private;

/* Our offset within mapping. */

Step 2 Page pointer corruption: Trigger the OOB write to corrupt a *page** pointer to make it point to the nearby struct page object.

General to all kinds of bugs:

- Pivoting Invalid-Write (e.g., OOB & UAF write) We use OOB as an example.
- Pivoting Invalid-Free (e.g., Double-Free) we can use heap spray&&FUSE technique.

Step 2 Page pointer corruption: Trigger the OOB write to corrupt a *page** pointer to make it point to the nearby struct page object.

No need to bypass KASLR:

- sizeof (struct page) = 0x40
- change the last byte to to 0x00
	- succuss if the last byte is originally: 0x40, 0x80, 0xC0
	- fail but no harm if it is: 0x00

Step 3 Page UAF construction: free the 4KB physical page, leaving a dangling pointer still points (reads and writes) to it.

vul object $\left| \frac{1}{\text{pipe buffer}} \right|$ buffer $\left| \frac{2}{\text{pipe buffer}} \right|$ The freed page is reclaimed in buddy system: • A 4KB physical page is managed by *a struct*

- *page* object.
- We trigger *a free_page()* to tell the buddy system the page can be reclaimed.

Step 4 Spray critical objects: allocate many critical objects (e.g., *file*) to reuse the freed page.

Slub page reuse:

- Spray many critical objects to claim the freed page in the buddy system.
- The page is full of critical objects, which is used as a page of its slub cache.
- It access the critical objects easily without cross cache attack, can bypass SLAB_VIRTUAL.

PageJack: tamper with critical objects

Step 5 Read/Write critical objects: we can read/write the whole 4KB physical page through the dangling pointer.

- based on *a struct page *,* such as *copy_page_from_iter, copy_page_to_iter*.
- Corrupt $file \rightarrow f$ mode to gain root privilege.

Read/Write the whole page (arbitrary read/write): • Linux kernel provides the read/write interfaces

PageJack: tamper with critical objects

CVE-2022-0995

• An out-of-bounds (OOB) memory write in the watch_queue event notification subsystem.

Exploit CVE-2022-0995

• We use **primitive #2** for exploit, modify the 6th bit of the page^{*} in the pipe_buffer, making two pipe_buffer->page points to the **same page**.

0xff....00

0xff….40

Exploit CVE-2022-0995

• Close one of the pipe_buffer to free the page, creating page UAF

Exploit CVE-2022-0995

- Spray "/etc/passwd" or suid struct file objects to realloc the uaf page.
- Write to uaf pipe_buffer to **modify** the file->f_mode to O_RW.
- Edit the passwd or suid file to get root.

Demo: SLAB_VIRTUAL and CFI enabled

Demo: SLAB_VIRTUAL and CFI enabled

Black Hat Sound Bytes

• **A novel OS kernel data-only exploit technique**

Bypass CFI

• **Applicable for a variety of vulnerabilities in the real world**

Linux and Android, vul type: OOB, UAF, double free

• **Bypass mitigations, fewer steps, and improve stability**

*KASLR***,** *SLAB_VIRTUAL*

Thank you!

More exploits with PageJack: <https://github.com/Lotuhu/Page-UAF>

White paper: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.17618>

zhiyunq@cs.ucr.edu | <a> | <a> | <a> https://www.cs.ucr.edu/~zhiyunq

@pkqzy888

https://github.com/seclab-ucr

