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"Civilization runs on Linux" [1][2]
- Android (2e9 users)
- cloud servers, desktops
- cars, transportation
- power generation
- nuclear submarines, etc.

Linux kernel is buggy
- 631 CVEs in two years (2017, 2018)
- 4100+ official bug fixes in 2017

[1] SLTS project, https://lwn.net/Articles/749530/
Harsh Reality: Cannot Patch All Bugs Immediately

Google Syzbot[3], on Nov 24th
- 459 not fixed, 92 fix pending, 55 in moderation
- # of bug reports increases 200 bugs/month

Practical solution to minimize the damage: prioritize patching of security bugs based on exploitability

Workflow of Determine Exploitability

**PoC: Slab-out-of-bound write**

**Example: Exploit A Slab Out-of-bound Write in Three Steps**

**Step 1**: Allocate a victim object next to the vulnerable object

**Step 2**: Trigger the security bug to tamper “fptr”

**Step 3**: Dereference “fptr” to hijack control flow
Challenges of Developing Exploits

1. Which kernel object is useful for exploitation
   - similar size/same type to be allocated to the same cache as the vulnerable object
   - e.g., enclose ptr whose offset is within corruption range
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Challenges of Developing Exploits

1. Which kernel object is useful for exploitation
   - Similar size/same type to be allocated to the same cache as the vulnerable object
   - E.g., enclose ptr whose offset is within corruption range

2. How to (de)allocate and dereference useful objects
   - System call sequence, arguments

3. How to manipulate slab to reach desired layout
   - Unexpected (de)allocation along with vulnerable/victim object makes side-effect to slab layout

Desired Slab Layout

Situation 1: Target slot is unoccupied

Situation 2: Target slot is occupied
Roadmap

Part I: Build A Kernel Object Database
- Include the kernel objects useful for exploitation and system calls and arguments that (de)allocate and dereference them (Challenge 1&2)

Part II: Adjust Slab Layout Systematically
- Deal with unoccupied/occupied situations respectively (Challenge 3)

Part III: Tricks
- Create an initial slab cache
- Calculate side-effect layout
- Shorten exploitation window
A Straightforward Solution to Challenges 1&2

Run kernel regression test

Monitor (de)allocation, dereference of objects in kernel

Correlate the object’s operations to the system calls

This solution can’t be directly applied to kernel.
Problems With the Straightforward Solution

Huge codebase
- # of objects is large while not all of them are useful
  e.g., in a running kernel, 109,000 objects and 846,000 pointers[4]
- Over 300 system calls with various combinations of arguments
- Complex runtime context and dependency between system calls

Asynchronous mechanism
- e.g, Read-Copy-Update (RCU) callback, dereference is registered first and triggered after a grace period

Multitask system
- Noise: other user-space processes, kernel threads, and hardware interrupts can also (de)allocate and dereference objects

Overview - Our Solution to Challenge 1&2

- Static Analysis to identify useful objects, sites of interest (allocation, deallocation, dereference), potential system calls

- Fuzzing Kernel to confirm system calls and complete arguments
Victim Object
- enclose a function pointer or a data object pointer
- once written, the adversaries can hijack control flow

Dereference Site
- indirect call
- asynchronous callback

```
struct file_operations {
  ...
  int (*llseek)(struct file*, loff_t, int);
  ...
}

struct file {
  ...
  const struct file_operations *f_op;
  ...
}

file->f_op->llseek(...);
kfree_rcu(...);
```
Spray Object
- most content can be controlled
- `copy_from_user()` migrates data from user space to kernel space

```c
SYSCALL_DEFINE5(add_key, ..., const void __user*, _payload, ...)
{
    ...
    void* payload = kmalloc(plen, GFP_KERNEL);
    copy_from_user(payload, _payload, plen);
    ...
}
```
Static Analysis - Potential System Calls

Reachable analysis over a customized type-matching kernel call graph
- delete function nodes in .init.text section
- delete call edges between independent modules according to KConfig
- add asynchronous callbacks to the graph
Kernel Fuzzing - Eliminate Noise

Instrument checking at sites of interest to eliminate following noises:

Source 1:
Objects of the same type from fuzzing executor `sock2`

Source 2:
1. Other processes’ syscalls `read, write`
2. Kernel threads `rcu_sched`, `kthread`
3. Hardware interrupt `net_rx_softirq`
# Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Static Analysis</th>
<th>Kernel Fuzzing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victim/Spray Object</td>
<td>124/4</td>
<td>Victim Object (alloc/dealloc/deref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>124/4</td>
<td>75/20/29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# of identified objects/syscalls (v4.15, defnoconfig + 32 other modules)
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Working Fashion of SLAB/SLUB Allocator

A single list organizes free slots

Allocation
retrieve from the freelist head

Deallocation
recycle to the freelist head

Both allocation and deallocation are at the freelist head
Situation 1 - Target Slot is Unoccupied

Initial State

1. Allocate the **Vul Obj**

2. Allocate the **Vic Obj**

Reason: too few allocations
Situation 1 - Our Solution

Initial State

1. Allocate the **Vul Obj**

2. Allocate a **dummy object** from the database

3. Allocate the **Vic Obj**
Situation 2 - Target Slot is Occupied

Initial State

1. Allocate the Vul Obj and the S-E Obj

2. Allocate the Vic Obj

Reason: too many allocations
Situation 2 - Straightforward But Wrong Solution

Problems with straightforward solution
- No general syscalls and arguments for deallocation
- can also be freed along with the

1. Allocate the Vul Obj and the S-E Obj

2. Deallocate the S-E Obj

3. Allocate the Vic Obj

Initial State

Free Slot 1
Target 2
Free Slot 3

freelist

1 - 1 = 2

3 - 1 = 2

Vul Obj 1
S-E Obj 3

Vul Obj 2
Target 2
Free Slot 3

freelist

Vul Obj 2
Vic Obj 3

freelist

Vul Obj 2
Target 2
Free Slot 3

freelist
Situation 2 - Our Solution

Our solution is to reorganize the freelist, switching the target slot’s order from 2nd to 3rd.
Situation 2 - Our Solution (cont.)

New Initial State

1. Allocate the **Vul Obj** and the **S-E Obj**

2. Allocate the **Vic Obj**
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Evaluation Set

27 vulnerabilities (the largest evaluation set so far)
- 26 CVEs, 1 Wild
- 13 UAF, 4 Double Free, 10 Slab Out-of-bound Write
- 18 with public exploits, 9 with NO public exploits
Evaluation Results

18 cases with public exploits
- 15 successful cases
- 8 additional unique exploits on avg.

Diversify the ways to exploitation

9 cases with NO public exploits
- 3 successful cases
- 25 unique exploits in total

Potentially escalate exploitability
Evaluation Results (cont.)

9 failure cases
- 6 cases, PoC manifests limited capability
  Future work: continue exploring more capability of security bugs

- 3 cases, vulnerability is in special caches
  Future work: include more modules for analysis
Part I: Build A Kernel Object Database

- Include the kernel objects useful for exploitation and system calls and arguments that (de)allocate and dereference them (Challenge 1&2)

Part II: Adjust Slab Layout Systematically

- Deal with unoccupied/occupied situations respectively (Challenge 3)

Part III: Tricks

- Create an initial slab cache
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- Shorten exploitation window
Tricks

- Create an initial slab cache
  - so that slots are chained sequentially
  - defragmentation

- Calculate side-effect layout
  - ftrace logs calling to allocation/deallocation
  - analyze log to calculate layout before manipulation

- Shorten exploit window
  - to minimize influence of other kernel activities on layout
  - put critical operation after defragmentation
Summary & Conclusion

Summary:
1. Identifies objects useful for kernel exploitation
2. Reorganizes slab and obtains the desired layout

Conclusion:
1. Empower the capability of developing working exploits
2. Potentially escalate exploitability and benefit its assessment for Linux kernel bugs
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