

DECEMBER 9–10 **BRIEFINGS**

Jack-in-the-Cache: a new code injection technique through modifying X86-to-ARM translation cache **Ko Nakagawa @ FFRI Security, Inc.**

Hiromitsu Oshiba @ FFRI Security, Inc.

About us

Ko Nakagawa

- Security researcher at FFRI Security, Inc.
	- <https://github.com/kohnakagawa>
	- [https://linkedin.com/in/koh-nakagawa](https://jp.linkedin.com/in/koh-nakagawa)

Hiromitsu Oshiba

- Research director at FFRI Security, Inc.
	- <https://github.com/0x75960>
	- [https://linkedin.com/in/hiromitsu-oshiba-072576ab](https://jp.linkedin.com/in/hiromitsu-oshiba-072576ab)

Agenda

- Introduction to Windows 10 on ARM
- •Binary translation cache file
- •New code injection technique
- •Use-cases
- •Conclusion

<https://www8.hp.com/us/en/campaigns/hp-envy-x2/overview.html>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GEZhD3J89ZE>

ARM-based laptops

Windows 10 on ARM macOS on ARM-based Apple Silicon

Surface Pro X

★★★★★ 33

Edge to edge 2-in-1 laptop with connected, Surface Pro X combi Surface Pro X Keyboard sold sep

Bundle and save with the Surfa Includes your choice of Surface Microsoft Complete 2-year exte RUILD YOUR BUNDLE >
<https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/p/surface-pro-x/8vdnrp2m6hhc?activetab=overview> **BUILD YOUR BUNDLE >**

Power consumption Lower is better

Difficulty in transition from Intel to ARM

We cannot use existing software for Intel on ARM-based laptops

Solutions

Windows 10 on ARM

- x86 Win32 emulation
	- JIT binary translation

macOS Big Sur

- •Rosetta 2
	- -binary translation at install time
	- JIT binary translation

X86 Win32 emulation – internals

- Kernel, drivers, and all inbox programs run native (ARM code)
- x86 programs are emulated using custom emulator from Microsoft
- Emulation relies on WOW (windows on windows)
- WOW used for x86 on x64
- Compiled Hybrid PE (CHPE) DLLs are x86 DLLs with ARM64 code within them

<https://channel9.msdn.com/Events/Build/2017/P4171>

Fast performance Translated at install time Transparent to user

Dynamic translation for JITs

Hmm? Binary translation? It seems to be very slow.

x86 emulation works by **compiling blocks of x86 instructions into ARM64 instructions** with optimizations to improve performance. **A service caches these translated blocks of code to reduce the overhead of instruction translation** and allow for optimization when the code runs again.

Solution in Windows 10 on ARM

<https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/uwp/porting/apps-on-arm-x86-emulation>

Translated blocks of code are cached as a file

- Reduces much of JIT binary translation overhead
- JIT binary translation is not performed when the translation result exists in an XTA cache file
- \Rightarrow Improves the performance of x86 emulation

@BLACKHATEVENT

X86-To-ARM64 (XTA) cache file

x86 emulation internals

- Three components of x86 emulation
- xtajit.dll
	- x86 emulator DLL loaded by WOW64 layer
- xtac.exe
	- -Compiler that creates/modifies XTA cache files
- •XtaCache.exe
	- Service managing XTA cache files
	- It creates/modifies XTA cache files by running xtac.exe

Related work: Cylance Research team blog

Teardown: Windows 10 on ARM - x86 Emulation

 $0 0 0 0$

x86 instructions belov

RESEARCH & INTELLIGENCE / 09.17.19 / Cylance Research Team

Upon reading the title of this article, one might pose the initial question: what would an ARN x86 instruction? Or a chunk of x86 instructions? Or an entire x86 binary? Windows 10, for example, does this by

https://blogs.blackberry.com/en/2019/09/tea [rdown-windows-10-on-arm-x86-emulation](https://blogs.blackberry.com/en/2019/09/teardown-windows-10-on-arm-x86-emulation)

Flow of execution using XTA cache file

Flow of execution using XTA cache file

Flow of execution using XTA cache file

Flow of execution using XTA cache file

x86 process memory

Flow of execution using XTA cache file

x86 process memory

3. map to memory

Search ēх

Where are XTA cache files?

By default, full permission is granted only to XtaCache.exe However, it can be changed with admin-equivalent privilege

Name of XTA cache file (SysWOW64¥explorer.exe)

- **EXPLORER.EXE**.**70AAEAA9BDA2D87C1CB0B92DF35C4E36**.**2FAF48 A985E3B301168A25089DA110C0**.mp.**1**.jc
- •**Name of x86 exe or dll ("explorer.exe" in this case)**
- •**Hash value determined by file content**
- •**Hash value determined by file path**
- •**Number of updates of this XTA cache file**
	- xtac.exe updates an XTA cache file during/after emulation to add newly translated blocks of code (explained later)

How does XtaCache.exe search XTA cache files?

EXPLORER.EXE.70AAEAA9BDA2D87C1CB0B92DF35C4E36.2FAF48A985E3B301168A25089DA110C0.n

Searches cache files by **file name**・**file content**・**file path**

•**Number of updates** is specified as wildcard

Uses cache file whose number of updates is largest

•Does not use the cache files whose number of updates is smaller - These files are removed later

ed Access: Read Data/List Directory, Sy

ed Access: Read Data/List Directory, Ex

Structure of XTA cache file

Code for (1) bridging between XTA cache and xtajit.dll, (2) address lookup operation, and so on

Translated ARM64 code

NT path name of x86 app

@BLACKHATEVENTS

Address pairs holding the relation between the RVAs of x86 app and the offsets of translated code

Header Header holding offsets to the following blocks

Repeated for the number of updates

Address pairs

Structure of XTA cache file

typedef struct r_bin_xtac_header_t { ut32 magic; $Code f_d$ ut32 ptr_to_addr_pairs; xtajit.dl it32 num_of_addr_pairs;
ut32 ptr_to_mod_name; $Transl$ a t ut 32 size_of_mod_name; ut32 ptr_to_nt_pname; ut32 size_of_nt_pname; ut32 ptr_to_head_blck_stub; Address ut32 ptr_to_tail_blck_stub;
ation it32 size_of_blck_stub_code; RVAs of and the other code of the oriented code. NT path ut32 ptr_to_xtac_linked_list_tail; $ut16$ mod_name $[1]$; RBinXtacHeader;

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Structure of XTA cache file

Translated code exists without obfuscation and encryption

@BLACKHATEVENTS

Address pairs

NT path name

ARM64 general-purpose register during emulation x86 ARM64 Translated ARM64 Original x86

Context is restored/saved to Wow64Context structure

78

mov ebp, esp mov eax, 1 mov esp, ebp pop **ebp**

- $\mathsf{ddi} = \mathsf{w20}$ $\sin = w19$: $bx = w21$: $dx = w1$; $cx = (DWORD)w0$ $ax = w27$: $bp = w29$: $pWow64Context \rightarrow Eip = w9$: b Wow64Context->Esp = $w28$:
	-
-

חר

xtac.exe updates XTA cache file to add newly translated code

- The previous translation result is copied to the new cache file
- •to reduce the amount of binary translation by xtac
- •But **small patches** are applied to the previous translation result

See [appendix](#page-75-0) for more details

Before update After update

Translated code

NT path name

BLCK Stub

Prevention of XTA cache file update

typedef struct r_bin_xtac_header_t {

- $uts2 magic;$
- ut32 version;
- ut32 is_updated;
- ut32 ptr_to_addr_pairs;
- ut32 num_of_addr_pairs;
- ut32 ptr_to_mod_name;
- ut32 size_of_mod_name;
- ut32 ptr_to_nt_pname;
- ut32 size_of_nt_pname;
- ut32 ptr_to_head_blck_stub;
- ut32 ptr_to_tail_blck_stub;
- ut32 size_of_blck_stub_code;
- ut32 ptr_to_xtac_linked_list_head;
- ut32 ptr_to_xtac_linked_list_tail;
- $ut16$ mod_name $[1]$;

RBinXtacHeader;

xtac.exe uses this member for getting the positions to be patched.

Assigning an invalid value (e.g., 0xffffffff) to this member crashes xtac.exe and prevents the update.

Note: this change does not affect the cache file loading and execution of x86 app by xtajit

Quick recap: XTA cache file

- •But this update can be prevented by modifying file header
- Although file header is modified, xtajit.dll can load this cache file

@BLACKHATEVENT

- **It contains translated ARM64 code**
- •Without obfuscation and encryption
- •During emulation, it is mapped to the memory

It is updated during/after emulation

- **Quick recap: XTA cache file**
	- **It contains translated ARM64 code**
	- •**Without obfuscation and encryption**
	- •**During emulation, it is mapped to the memory**
	- It is updated during emulation str w29, Lx2 • But this update can be prevented movz w27, 0x1
	- Although file header is modified, x mov w28, w29

str w29, [x28, -4]! ldr w29, [x28], 4

- **Quick recap: XTA cache file**
	- **It contains translated ARM64 code**
	- •**Without obfuscation and encryption**
	- •**During emulation, it is mapped to the memory**

Wildt happens if the XTA cache me is modified! What happens if the XTA cache file is modified?

It is updated during emulation

•Although file header is modified, xtajit.dll can load this

Flow of execution when XTA cache file is modified

XtaCache.exe

x86_app.exe xtajit.dll

… X86_APP.EXE.983D…mp.1.jc**…**

ACCESSCHK.EXE.95…mp.1.jc

Cache file directory

Flow of execution when XTA cache file is modified

x86_app.exe xtajit.dll

Flow of execution when XTA cache file is modified

x86 process memory

Flow of execution when XTA cache file is modified

x86 process memory

Flow of execution when XTA cache file is modified

What happens when the XTA cache file is modified?

- Code in the XTA cache file is executed even though modified
- •because the integrity of XTA cache file is not checked
- No limitation for the embeddable content (size or encoding)
- •An attacker can embed shellcode in the cache file and run it through emulation
	- -But there are some limitations to callable APIs for shellcode (next slide)

We name this code injection **XTA cache hijacking**

Limitations of callable APIs

Some native APIs of DLLs in System32 are not callable

• E.g., GDI, Winsock, …

APIs of WOW64 layers are (of course) callable

Features of XTA cache hijacking

- Three features of XTA cache hijacking
- •Difficulty in detecting
- •Difficulty in root cause analysis
- •Persistence

Difficulty in detecting

Accesses to the target process are not needed

- •Code injection is performed without:
	- acquisition of the target process handle

- suspicious API calls

Difficulty in root cause analysis

Cannot determine the root cause by examining the x86 app

•Since the executed code is in the XTA cache file, there are no suspicious indicators in the x86 app

Difficulty in root cause analysis (contd.)

- If any breakpoint is set to the x86 app, **the XTA cache file of x86 app is not used during emulation**
- Therefore, analysts cannot see the suspicious behaviors when setting any breakpoint by debugger
- •This anti-debugging feature makes analysis difficult

Persistence

- Code injection is persisted even after OS restart
- •Code injection is automatically performed when the same x86 EXE or DLL runs again
- •Updates of cache files can be prevented by modifying header -An attacker can achieve persistence by preventing cache file update

Positions in MITRE ATT&CK

ATT&CK Matrix for Enterprise

and

Exfiltration

9 techniques **Automated Exfiltration** ata Transfer Size Limits

xfiltration Over Alternative rotocol₍₃₎

Impact

13 techniques

Account Access Removal

Data Destruction

Data Encrypted for mnact

<https://attack.mitre.org/>

Persistence

Used as a persistence method

•Can hide malicious shellcode in XTA cache file

Defense Evasion

Used to mask malicious code

•Can run malicious code as a legitimate process

Credential Access

Used as a credential access method

- •Can inject API hooking code into XTA cache files of DLLs that are used in a browser
	- Steal credentials / modify web pages

edential Access

XTA Cache Hijacking

You might think that...

Hmm? XTA cache hijacking seems to be similar to other conventional code injection techniques.

What makes XTA cache hijacking so special? Why is it so interesting?

Ko -> Hiromitsu

It's a new technique

- targets new OS and its technology (Win10 ARM, xtajit)
- has persistence
- makes investigations difficult

Good. But.. that's all?

Remind that..

It is realized by modifying **cache of translation result** •They are **ARM64 machine codes**

We can change the behavior of x86 processes w/o any modifications to x86 instructions!

What's happening?

- **ARM64 CPUs cannot execute x86 instructions directly** •unlike x86-64 CPUs
- **x86 instructions are only referenced when translating**
- •If already cache exist, they are not referenced

The instructions in the cache take precedence

• Even if the behavior of the cache and the original are different..

Side effect: Invisible Execution There are no changes for x86 instructions on memory

Execution of payloads is invisible to x86 layer

•**The execution state on ARM64 layer is invisible to x86 layer**

- Even if you follow the execution with debugger, you can see unmodified x86 instructions only

demo

Use-case: Invisible API Hook

We can detect hooks with checking the beginning of API

• commonly used method modifies the instruction at beginning of the function

We can avoid the detection and the tracing for hooks!

•by applying our method to **CHPE DLLs**

CHPE DLLs

bridge DLLs between x86 and ARM64

•used in x86 processes on Win10 ARM

Exist for some DLLs frequently used by applications •e.g., kernel32.dll, user32.dll, ntdll.dll

Have x86 stubs for each API

•**Of course, caches are generated for these x86 instructions**

Bonus

Find out path executed from the existence of the cache

•No execution, no cache

Bonus

Find out path executed from the existence of the cache

- •**Non-invasive coverage measurement**
	- E.g., fuzzing? (see **[appendix](#page-97-0)** for more details)
- •**Incident Response**
	- E.g., Investigate what the RAT did without a communications log

Tool for this will also be available!

Conclusion

- **New code injection technique for Windows10 on ARM** •**exploits the cache in x86 to ARM64 JIT Translation**
- •**has a unique side effect and some benefits**

Advices

For one developing similar system

•**Ensure the integrity of cache**

- This technique requires privilege escalation, **but still worth**

Everyone

- •**Be Aware of the threat**
	- It will be difficult to find out on first sight if one don't know about this

PoC code and some analysis tools are available at

- •Some tools to manipulate XTA cache files
	- [-https://github.com/FFRI/XtaTools](https://github.com/FFRI/XtaTools)
- •Analysis tool for XTA cache files
	- [-https://github.com/FFRI/radare2](https://github.com/FFRI/radare2)

Thank you!

- •Twitter DM: @FFRI_Research
- •e-mail: research-feedback@ffri.jp

Any questions and comments to

Acknowledgements

Thank my colleagues for giving some helpful comments on this material.

Appendix

Structure of XTA cache file

XTA cache file header and its members

```
// NOTE: Here "pointer" means RVA from the image base of the cache file
typedef struct r_bin_xtac_header_t {
                                        // signature (always "XTAC")
       uts2 magic;// version of XTAC
       ut32 version;
                                        // cache file is updated (1) or not (0)ut32 is updated;
       ut32 ptr_to_addr_pairs;
                                        // pointer to x86 to arm address pairs
       ut32 num_of_addr_pairs;
                                // number of address pairs
       ut32 ptr_to_mod_name;
                               a contract to module name // pointer to module name
       ut32 size_of_mod_name; \frac{1}{2} // size of module name (in bytes)
       ut32 ptr_to_nt_pname;
                              // pointer to NT path name
       ut32 size_of_nt_pname;
                                // size of NT path name (in bytes)
       ut32 ptr_to_head_blck_stub; // pointer to head BLCK stub
       ut32 ptr_to_tail_blck_stub; // pointer to tail BLCK stub
       // size of BLCK stub code (not including BLCK stub header)
       ut32 ptr_to_xtac_linked_list_head; // pointer to the head of linked list for updating
                                        // xtac.exe uses this for accessing the location to be corrected
       ut32 ptr_to_xtac_linked_list_tail; // pointer to the tail of linked list for updating
       ut16 \mod name[1];
                                        // module name
  RBinXtacHeader;
```


Example:

XTA cache file of SystemRoot¥SysChpe32¥kernelbase.dll

file name:

KERNELBASE.DLL.152D9019D54A662A18EC7A673ECB130F.DB966B70C90268F5B3A 22AF2FFD62FB9.mp.3.jc

KERNELBASE.DLL.152D9019D54A662A18EC7A673ECB130F.DB966B70C90268F5B3A22AF2FFD62FB9.mp.3.jc

Magic (always XTAC)

Module name of x86 app

NT path name of x86 app

KERNELBASE.DLL.152D9019D54A662A18EC7A673ECB130F.DB966B70C90268F5B3A22AF2FFD62FB9.mp.3.jc

KERNELBASE.DLL.152D9019D54A662A18EC7A673ECB130F.DB966B70C90268F5B3A22AF2FFD62FB9.mp.3.jc

Cache file is updated or not (1: updated, 0: not-updated)

KERNELBASE.DLL.152D9019D54A662A18EC7A673ECB130F.DB966B70C90268F5B3A22AF2FFD62FB9.mp.3.jc

Cache file is updated or not (1: updated, 0: not-updated)

Offset to next entry (ive to BLCK Stub) code's start address)

KERNELBASE.DLL.152D9019D54A662A18EC7A673ECB130F.DB966B70C90268F5B3A22AF2FFD62FB9.mp.3.jc

Relation among three BLCK Stub #1, #2, and #3

지지 시마 시른 지지 시코 지지 지지 지마 지리 지지 시리

Point of the next entry

Stub code

CHPE DLL

Compiled-Hybrid-PE (CHPE) DLL

looks as if x86 PE file, but **contains x86 and ARM64 code** [1, 2]

•Small subset of DLLs frequently used by applications

Exported APIs contain x86 jump stubs to ARM64 function bodies

- •JIT translation is performed only for these x86 stubs
	- It reduces the amount of JIT binary translation

Example: MessageBoxA @ SystemRoot¥SysChpe32¥user32.dll

jumps to body

x8.0x69f3a000 w8. x8. #0xf68]=>qfEMIEnable w8.LAB 69edb9cc w8. [x26, #0x18] x9.0x69f3b000 w11.w9.#0x550 $W12, [x8, 40x24]$

Exported function

- EDI, EDI
- EBP EBP.ESP
- EBP

MOV

MOV

POP

NOP

JMP

#MessageBoxA@16

adrp

ldr

cbz

ldr

adrp

add

ldr

PUSH

#MessageBoxA@16

Example: MessageBoxA @ SystemRoot¥SysChpe32¥user32.dll

XTA cache file contains only the translation result of jump stubs

 $mp.1.jc$

oxA@16

API Hooking through modifying jump stubs

We show an example of *[invisible API hooking t](#page-50-0)hrough* modifying MessageBoxA's jump stub

API Hooking through modifying the jump stub code

 \times

Hoooooooked !!!!!!

KHATEVENTS

API Hooking example is included in <https://github.com/FFRI/XtaTools/tree/main/example>

Small patches applied during XTA cache file update

Update feature of XTA cache files

xtac.exe updates XTA cache files to add newly-translated result

Previous translation result is copied to new cache file

•to reduce the amount of binary translation by xtac.exe

Before copying, small patches are applied to previous result

Update feature of XTA cache files

xtac.exe updates XTA cache files to add newly-translated result

- Previous translation result is copied to new cache file
- •to reduce the amount of binary translation by xtac.exe

Before copying, small patches are applied to previous result

What are these patches?

Example program (Branch.exe)

- calls different function depending on the number of arguments
- assuming that func0, func1, and func2 are not inlined by the compiler optimization

We can get three different cache files by changing the number of arguments

MessageBoxW(NULL, L"func0", L"func0", MB_OK);

printf("number is $\%d\n\cdot$, i);

int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {

#include <stdio.h>

void func $\theta()$ {

void func1() $\{$

puts("func1");

void func2(int i) {

if (argc == 1) {

rgc);

#include <windows.h>

 $\text{argc} == 2) \text{ } \{$

Example program (Branch.exe)

- calls different function depending on the number of arguments
- assuming that func0, func1, and func2 are not inlined by the compiler optimization

We can get three different cache files by changing the number of arguments

```
void func2(int i) {
                                                                         printf("number is \%d\n\cdot, i);
                                                                     int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
                                                                         if (argc == 1) {
                                                                            func@();
                     xtac makes BRANCH.EXE.*.*.mp.1.jc
C:4>Branch
                                                                         } else if (argc == 2) {
                                                                            func2(area):C:4>Branch 0 0
                     xtac updates the cache file and makes BRANCH.EXE.*.*.mp.2.jclfunc1
C:\>Branch 0
number is 2
```


#include <stdio.h>

void func $\theta()$ {

void func1() $\{$

puts("func1");

#include <windows.h>

MessageBoxW(NULL, L"func0", L"func0", MB_OK);

m p.2.jc m p.3.jc

$2)$ {

int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {

printf("number is $\%d\n\cdot$, i);

#include <stdio.h>

void func $\theta()$ {

void func1() $\{$

puts("func1");

void func2(int i) {

if (argc == 1) {

#include <windows.h>

MessageBoxW(NULL, L"func0", L"func0", MB_OK);

Example program (Branch.exe)

- calls different function depending on the number of arguments
- assuming that func0, func1, and func2 are not inlined by the compiler optimization

We can get three different cache files by changing the number of arguments

Example program (Branch.exe)

- calls different function depending on the number of arguments
- assuming that func0, func1, and func2 are not inlined by the compiler optimization

result of cache file ation result

Difference between two XTA cache files

bláckhať

Difference between two XTA cache files

bláckhať

5BDE17331477F4.mp.2.jc

0x401070 (func2)

BDE17331477F4.mp.3.jc

ch is applied by ifter the update 0x401070 (func2)

ation result

- BRANCH.EXE.*.*.mp.3.jc **contains translation result of func2**, but BRANCH.*.*.mp.2.jc **does not contain translation result of func2**
- because translation result of func2 is added after the update of BRANCH.*.*.mp.2.jc
- When using BRANCH.EXE.*.*.mp.2.jc ...
- should jump to the JIT translation result on heap when calling func2 When using BRANCH.EXE.*.*.mp.3.jc ...
- **can directly jump** to the translation result of XTA cache file when calling func2
- This patch changes the jump to func2 from …
- JIT translation result on heap -> translation result of XTA cache file

What is this patch for?

- BRANCH.EXE.*.*.mp.3.jc **contains translation result of func2**, but BRANCH.*.*.mp.2.jc **does not contain translation result of func2**
- because translation result of func2 is added after the update of BRANCH.*.*.mp.2.jc
- When using BRANCH.EXE.*.*.mp.2.jc ...
- should jump to the JIT translation result on heap when calling func2
- When using BRANCH. It reduces the amount of JIT binary translation
- can directly jump to the translation result calling when calling func2
- This patch changes the jump to func2 from …
- JIT translation result on heap -> translation result of XTA cache file

What is this patch for?

How does xtac.exe get the positions to be patched?

XTA cache file header has the member to access the positions to be patched

- These positions are stored as a linked list (we are calling it **XTAC linked list**)
- The linked list can be accessed by the following cache file header members

XTAC linked list

Pointer to XTAC linked list head

5BĐE17331477F4.mp.2.jc

0x401070 (func2)

same as [previous one](#page-82-0)

Member of XTAC linked list entry

b0000037: **Meta data (see next slide)** and **quarter of offset to the next entry 00001070**: x86 RVA of jump address (containing RVA of func2 in this case) **000010a0**: x86 RVA of return address (containing RVA of return address of this call site)

BDE17331477F4.mp.2.jc

0x401070 (func2)

same as *[previous one](#page-82-0)*

Member of XTAC linked list entry (contd.)

If the meta data is 0x**1**, it contains only jump address (no return address)

BDE17331477F4.mp.2.jc] A of jump

Offset to the next entry of linked list

bláckhať

 $P\cap P\cap$

BDE17331477F4.mp.2.jc

ext entry. amerated unc2)

b to the next entry

7331477F4.mp.2.jc]

10000 to the next entry

7331477F4.mp.2.jc]

Technical details of XTA cache hijacking

Notes about injectable payload of XTA cache hijacking

- size of code
- encoding of code

There are no restrictions of:

Both x86 and ARM64 code can be injected!

• x86 shellcode can be executed by calling thread creation function (such as CreateThread and NtCreateThread)

Notes about building shellcode for XTA cache hijacking

- Windows API calls through emulation layer is preferred
	- Function call through emulation layer unlikely causes program crashes
	- Function call that is performed **not through emulation layer** causes program crashes in some cases (this limitation has already been noted [here.](#page-33-0) APIs of GDI or Winsock are not callable.)

@BLACKHATEVENTS

Pay special attentions about Windows API calls

Steps to call Windows API through emulation layer

- 1. push function arguments to stack (x86 calling convention)
- 2. push x86 return address to stack (lr register is not used!)
- 3. get x86 Windows API address through accessing IAT (or PEB)
- 4. set program counter ([w9 register during emulation](#page-20-0)) to Windows API address
- 5. call API through a specific function in BLCK stub (see next slide)

@BLACKHATEVENTS

Example of Windows API call through emulation layer

Cache file of [this sample program](#page-78-0) (show only translation result of func0)

331477F4.mp.3.jc

guments (push four uments to stack)

get x86 address dress

Inter to ddress

the function in BLCK stub function through

Some code injection examples are included in … <https://github.com/FFRI/XtaTools/tree/main/example>

We also have provided tools to support for building shellcode in the above repository

@BLACKHATEVENTS

Code coverage measurement using XTA cache file

Code coverage can be obtained by examining XTA cache file because [XTA cache file holds x86 RVA addresses that](#page-63-0) executed

• **explained in [this slide](#page-53-0)**

Before demonstrating this, we will explain what kind of instruction ends the binary translation unit

@BLACKHATEVENTS

Binary translation unit

x86 code is translated for each code block

- •Branch instructions, such as call and ret, end one code block
- However, there are some exceptions:
	- In some case, jmp instructions do not end the code block
	- Some instructions such as x87 instructions and software interrupt instructions end the code block

Example

x86 code of [example program](#page-78-0)

----- end of translation unit

- •"call" and "ret" end translation unit
- "jnz" does not end translation unit in this example

Translated ARM64 code
Exadvelo 0% 160 BRANCH.EXE.B4DA06B11F6FC8D0BA6DB6429826FF51.4F


```
w29, [x28, -4]!ov w29, w28
  w27, [x29, 8]
ubs w2, w27, 1
 ne 0xa62c
r x3, xzr, 0xf000000000000000
dd w6, w9, 0x10
  w6, [x28, -4]!b w9, w9, 0x40
  x14, x6, 3, 0xax15, sym.x86.00401090
  w6, w15, [x14]
  x15, [sp, 0xb08]
  x15, sym.x86.00401040
0xa2206B11F6FC8D0BA6DB6429826FF51.4F
egs w27, wzr
ovz w2, 0
  w29, [x28], 4
  w9, [x28], 4
  x14, x9, 3, 0xaw15, w16, [x14]
```
b w15, w15, w9 nz w15, 0xa6d0 $x16$ $0x9e68$ $x17$

Example of code coverage measure

Uses BRANCH.EXE.*.*.mp.1.jc of **[sample program](#page-78-0)** for the demonstration

Address pairs (RVA to image base)

Notes about code coverage measurement

Function coverage can be obtained, but branch coverage can be partially obtained

- •because some branch instructions, such as jmp, do not end translation unit in some case (like [previous example\)](#page-101-0)
- This method has non-invasive feature
- •Binary instrumentation is not needed

@BLACKHATEVENT