

DECEMBER 9-10 BRIEFINGS



# How Embedded TCP/IP Stacks Breed Critical Vulnerabilities

Daniel dos Santos, Stanislav Dashevskyi, Jos Wetzels, Amine Amri

FORESCOUT RESEARCH LABS

#### <) FORESCOUT Active Defense for the Enterprise of Things"

### Who we are

- Daniel dos Santos, Research Manager ullet
- Stanislav Dashevskyi, Security Researcher •
- Jos Wetzels, Security Researcher ullet
- Amine Amri, Security Researcher •

"At Forescout Research Labs we analyze the security implications of hyper connectivity and IT-OT convergence."



# Outline

- Project Memoria
- AMNESIA:33 vulnerabilities on open-source TCP/IP stacks
- Analysis of TCP/IP stack vulnerabilities
- Affected components
- Vulnerability types & Anti-patterns
- Exploitability & Impact
- The consequences of TCP/IP stack vulnerabilities
- Conclusion & what comes next









# **Project Memoria**

- Goal: large study of embedded TCP/IP stack security
- Why are they vulnerable? How are they vulnerable? What to do about it?
- Quantitative and qualitative
- Forescout Research Labs and other collaborations



1990s







# **Embedded Systems Supply chain**







**FORESCOUT RESEARCH LABS** 



## Why target protocol stacks?

- Wide deployment
- Vulnerabilities trickle down the supply-chain to many vendors
- Absence of Software Bill of Materials (SBOM)
- Vulnerabilities fixed in core stack **might never make it** to all OEM firmware
- Good chance of finding exploitable bugs
- Externally exposed, often run as privileged, low-level component
- Patching issues + Long lifespans + Broad trickle-down = High vulnerability lifespan = High attacker ROI

FORESCOUT RESEARCH LABS









# **AMNESIA:33**



# AMNESIA:33 – a Project Memoria Study

- Lots of (publicly) unexamined popular stacks out there ullet
- NetX (Microsoft), NicheStack (HCC), Nucleus NET (Siemens), ... Ο
- Why open source stacks? ullet
- 88% of embedded projects reuse source code  $\bigcirc$
- 58% use an open source RTOS (open source TCP/IP stacks Ο often come bundled with them)
- Use of comercial OSes declining and open-source increasing Ο
- 4% of design time spent on security and privacy assessment Ο



https://www.embedded.com/wpcontent/uploads/2019/11/EETimes Embedded 2019 Embedded Markets Study.pdf



### **Research Methodology**





### Discussion of results

## **Prior work**

Year	TCP/IP Stack	Reference	# Vulns
2013	Microchip TCP/IP	https://www.securityfocus.com/bid/59603/info	1
2014	uIP, IwIP	https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/id/210620	1
2017	RTCS TCP/IP	https://www.us-cert.gov/ics/advisories/ICSMA-17-250-02A	2
2017	picoTCP	https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2017-1000210	1
2018	FreeRTOS+TCP	https://blog.zimperium.com/freertos-tcpip-stack-vulnerabilities- details	10
2019	Nucleus NET	https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ics/advisories/icsa-19-318-01	1
2019	Interpeak IPnet	https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ics/advisories/icsa-19-274-01	11
2020	InterNiche NicheStack	https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ics/advisories/icsa-20-105-08	1
2020	Treck TCP/IP	https://us-cert.cisa.gov/ics/advisories/icsa-20-168-01	19

Not many vulnerabilities, even on very popular stacks used for decades => Lack of public analysis



# Analysis of prior work – anti-patterns\*

- Shotgun & permissive parsing •
- Absence of bounds checks  $\bigcirc$
- Integer wraparounds 0
- State confusion  $\bigcirc$
- Unclear and conflicting RFCs •
- E.g., TCP Urgent pointer Ο



CVE-2018-16601 – no bounds checks on FreeRTOS+TCP

<pre>struct rarp_packet *cdecl ipnet_eth_rarp_input(vc</pre>	oid *interface, void *a2)
{	
<pre>packet = (struct rarp_packet *)(*(_DWORD *)(a2 +</pre>	0x3C) + *(_DWORD *)(a2 + 6
if ( packet->hardware type == 0x100	// Ethernet
&& packet->protocol type == 8	// IPv4
&& packet->hardware_protocol_sizes == 0x406	// Hardware Size: 6, Prot
&& packet->opcode == 0x400	// Reverse Reply
<pre>&amp;&amp; !(packet-&gt;sender_mac[0] &amp; 1))</pre>	
{	
<pre>ipnet timeout cancel(*( DWORD *)(*( DWORD *)(a1</pre>	$+ 0 \times 5C) + 0 \times 10);$
packet = (struct rarp packet *)ipnet ip4 add ad	dr(interface, packet->targ
}	···· (-····· · ···· )   ······ 2
return packet:	
1	
<b>3</b>	

CVE-2019-12262 – state confusion (unsolicited reply) on IPnet







<sup>\* &</sup>quot;The Seven Turrets of Babel: A Taxonomy of LangSec Errors and How to Expunge Them" – F. Momot et al.

### Target selection-

- Selection criteria:
- Use in popular RTOSes (e.g., FreeRTOS, uC/OS)
- More than 1k instances found online

#	Stack	Description	Exa
1	<u>lwIP</u>	<ul> <li>Developed in 2000 by Adam Dunkels</li> <li>Very popular as part of FreeRTOS or as a standalone stack (used by, e.g., <u>Tesla</u> and <u>Philips</u>)</li> </ul>	LiteO
2	<u>uIP</u>	<ul> <li>Designed to be lighter than IwIP, released in 2001 (also by Adam Dunkels), popular base for forks</li> <li>Development halted as a standalone project, continues as part of the Contiki OS</li> </ul>	R
3	Nut/Net	<ul> <li>IP stack used by NutOS, developed by the Ethernut project since 2002</li> <li>The project is currently under active development</li> </ul>	
4	<u>FNET</u>	<ul> <li>Developed at Freescale (now NXP) in 2003</li> <li>Made public in 2009 and maintained by Andrey Butok</li> </ul>	
5	picoTCP	<ul> <li>Developed by Altran Intelligent Systems and made open source in 2013</li> <li>Continues to be developed as picoTCP-NG (no longer supported by Altran)</li> </ul>	
6	<u>CycloneTCP</u>	<ul> <li>Developed by Oryx Embedded and distributed in source code form since 2013</li> </ul>	
7	uC/TCP-IP	<ul> <li>Developed by Micrium in 2002 and open sourced in February 2020</li> <li>uC/OS, which typically relies on the stack, is very popular</li> </ul>	uC/0



#### amples of OSes using it

#### DS, RT-Thread, FreeRTOS

Contiki, Contiki-NG RT-Thread, FreeRTOS

#### NutOS

#### seL4, TRENTOS

OS-II, uC/OS-III, Cesium

# **Static and Dynamic Analysis**

- Fuzzing = libFuzzer ullet
- White-box (targets are open-source) and coverage-guided  $\bigcirc$
- Complications for embedded targets  $\bigcirc$
- Challenging to achieve full coverage (e.g., stateful fuzzing, checksums) when used out-of-the box Ο
- Variant hunting = Joern *thanks to Gabriele Acerbi* •
- Encode corpus of anti-patterns as code property graph queries in Scala  $\bigcirc$
- Search for anti-patterns in the new targets Ο
- Depends on queries, may leave out important code regions Ο
- Manual analysis •
- Deep analysis of other points of interest left out before (e.g., memory operations, pointer arithmetic) Ο

14





#### https://llvm.org/docs/LibFuzzer.html



https://ioern.io/

**@BLACKHATEVENTS** 

# **Negative (positive?) results**

- 3 stacks with no vulnerabilities found
- IwIP, CycloneTCP, uC/TCP-IP
- Selected anti-patterns not trivially present
- Generally, consistent bounds checking and safe parsing
- Actively developed and supported
- Projects have been contacted for other issues discovered, and they were very responsive



#### **Positive & Negative results**

- 4 vulnerable stacks
- 7 affected components
- DNS, IPv6/v4, TCP, ICMP, LLMNR, mDNS
- 4 potential impacts
- Denial of Service

Crash

Infinite loops

- DNS cache poisoning
- Information leak
- Remote code execution

Stack	Versions analyzed	Total vulnerabilities
ulP	uIP 1.0, Contiki 3.0, Contiki-NG 4.5	13
picoTCP	picoTCP 1.7.0, picoTCP-NG 2.0.0	10
FNET	4.6.3	5
Nut/Net	5.1	5



Dynamic Analysis	Static Analysis
6	7
5	5
0	5
0	5





#### Affected Components



- TCP/IP vulnerabilities are independent of user application
- Protocol complexity remains good • predictor of vulnerabilities



#### **Vulnerability types**



- OOB-R/W = unvalidated attackercontrolled length and offset fields
- Integer Overflow = unvalidated length and offset fields incorporated into assignments & comparison arithmetic
- State Confusion = unsolicited responses, permissive state machines, ambiguous RFCs



### Example 1 – OOB-W and overflow

#### ICMPv6 echo request packet



**FORESCOUT RESEARCH LABS** 



### **Example 1 – OOB-W and overflow**



CVE-2020-17443 on PicoTCP



#### **New anti-patterns in AMNESIA:33**

- IPv6 extension headers and options
- The lengths of extension headers and/or options are not validated
- DNS domain name length
- Domain name labels are parsed based on unvalidated length fields
- DNS domain name NULL termination
- The parsing code expects but doesn't enforce that domain names are NULL-terminated



- **Destination Options** header is used for specifying packet delivery parameters for a destination ullet(0x60)
- Consists of the Next Header, Header Extension Length fields, and a variable length Options • field (provides some specific options for packet delivery or padding)
- The **Options** field has the following structure: **type**, **length**, and variable length **data** •

0	4	8 I	12	16	6 <mark>2</mark>	0 2	24 2 I	28	32
	Next Header	ŀ	leader Extensio Length	n	Option #	#1 Туре	Option #1	Data Length	•
ŧ			Oţ	otion #	1 Data				Ŧ
	Option #2 Type	Ор	tion #2 Data Ler	ngth		Ontion	#2 Data		
Ŧ	574		501			Option	#2 Dala		T
									1

http://www.tcpipguide.com/





#### CVE-2020-17445 on PicoTCP





CVE-2020-17445 on PicoTCP





```
atic int pico ipv6 process destopt(struct pico ipv6 exthdr *destopt, struct pico frame *f, uint32 t opt ptr):
 uint8 t *option = NULL;
 uint8 t len = 0, optlen = 0;
 opt ptr += (uint32 t)(2u);
 option = ((uint8_t *)&destopt->ext.destopt) + sizeof(struct destopt_s);
 len = (uint8 t)(((destopt->ext.destopt.len + 1) << 3) - 2);
 while (len) {
     optlen = (uint8 t)(*(option + 1) + 2);
     switch (*option)
                                                   With this degree of control, attackers may
     case PICO IPV6 EXTHDR OPT PAD1:
         break;
                                                   achieve Out-of-bounds Read by shifting
                                                        option into unmapped memory.
     case PICO_IPV6_EXTHDR_OPT_PADN:
         break:
     case PICO_IPV6 EXTHDR OPT SRCADDR:
         break;
                                                  It is also possible to achieve "infinite loop":
     default:
                                                   optien can be simply set to 0 via Integer
         // THE CODE HERE IS OMITTED FOR BREVITY
         break;
                                                          Overflow during assignment
     opt ptr += optlen;
     option += optlen;
     len = (uint8 t)(len - optlen);
 return 0;
```

CVE-2020-17445 on PicoTCP





### Example 3 – DNS domain name issues







### Example 3 – DNS domain name issues



CVE-2020-25111 on Nut/Net



Attackers explicitly control the allocation of \*npp

Attackers explicitly control the number of bytes to be written into \*npp (len)

# Example 3 – CVE-2020-2511 Exploitation\*

- NutOS has a simple heap allocator
- Singly-linked free list
- Deterministic best-fit algorithm
- Forward coalescing
- Guards optional and static (Oxdeadbeef)

- Heap shaping
- Use resource record field sizes
- Target node gets allocated in proper position before a free node





# Example 3 – CVE-2020-2511 Exploitation\*

- Controlled allocation via corrupted free node
- We control *src*, *dst* & *len* now: write primitive
- Overwrite local stackframe or parent (saved *ret*)

#### • RCE

- No exploit mitigations, no memory protections
- Sleep ROP chain for cache coherency (ARM, etc.)
- Redirect to shellcode





## Example 3 – CVE-2020-2511 Exploitation\*

- PoC developed against latest NutOS
- We used Ethernut 5 development board as a target
- The payload simply prints a string to UART, but it can be  $\bigcirc$ anything (manipulate IOs, extract key material, talk to other busses, e.g., I2C, CAN, ...)



RAM: 128 MiB ARNING: Caches not enabled lash: 512 KiB AND: 1024 MiB mci: 0 ataFlash:AT45DB321 Nb pages: 8192 528 age Size: Size= 4325376 bytes ogical address: 0xC0000000 Area 0: C0021000 to C0041FFF (R0) setup Area 1: C0042000 to C00C5FFF (RO) uboot Area 2: C00C6000 to C0359FFF (R0) kernel Area 3: C035A000 to C03DDFFF (R0) nutos Area 4: C03DE000 to C03FEFFF env SF: Detected AT45DB321D with page size 528 Bytes, total 16.5 MiB In: serial Out: serial serial Err: macb0: PHY present at 0 Net: macb0: Starting autonegotiation... macb0: Autonegotiation complete macb0: link up, 100Mbps full-duplex (lpa: 0xcle1) macb0 Hit any key to stop autoboot: 0 ## Starting application at 0x20000000 ... [i] Initiating DNS Client ... [i] Configuring Ethernet interface [+] 192.168.0.94 ready

#### [!][!][!] PWNED PWNED PWNED [!][!][!]

\*Detailed writeup coming soon



# **Exploitability & Impact**



Vulnerability impact categories

- Exploitability and concrete impact depend on:  ${}^{\bullet}$
- Stack configuration components/features enabled, buffer sizes, allocators... Ο
- Networking hardware & drivers validation and filtering offloading Ο
- Target platform hardware architecture and configuration (memory protection, organization & security features, 0 fault & watchdog handling, etc.)



# **Exploitability & Impact: Examples**

#### UIP\_ARCH\_CHKSUM

#if UIP_CONF_IPV6
upper_layer_len = (((u16_t)(BUF->len[0]) << 8) + BUF->len[1]);
<pre>#else /* UIP_CONF_IPV6 */</pre>
<pre>upper_layer_len = (((u16_t)(BUF-&gt;len[0]) &lt;&lt; 8) + BUF-&gt;len[1]) - UIP_IPH_LEN;</pre>
<pre>#endif /* UIP_CONF_IPV6 */</pre>
/* First sum pseudoheader. */
/* IP protocol and length fields. This addition cannot carry. */
<pre>sum = upper_layer_len + proto;</pre>
/* Sum IP source and destination addresses. */
<pre>sum = chksum(sum, (u8_t *)&amp;BUF-&gt;srcipaddr[0], 2 * sizeof(uip_ipaddr_t));</pre>
/* Sum TCP header and data. */
sum = Chksum(sum, &uip_bu+[UIP_IPH_LEN + UIP_LLH_LEN],
upper_layer_len);

CVE-2020-13987: uiP OOB-R

- Checksum must be not offloaded to platform/driver  $\bigcirc$
- Memory protection needs to be enabled Ο
- Fault handler determines the nature of DoS (reset, hang, etc.)

<pre>/* Temporary va uint8_t uip_acc static uint8_t</pre>
<pre>/* All other options have a lengt</pre>
c += uip_buf[UIP_TCPIP_HLEN + UIP

CVE-2020-13988: uiP infinite loop

- Depending on task scheduler, entire system may hang Ο
- If no watchdog is present, the system will hang indefinitely 0
- Otherwise, only network functionality may be affected, and the 0 system may reset after some time

#### **FORESCOUT RESEARCH LABS**







# Consequences



# Identifying affected systems

- 3 data sources
- Device documentation
- Online instances
- Fingerprints on proprietary repository
- ~150 potentially affected vendors
- Popular components and devices (e.g., WiFi SoCs, network switches) are shipped in the millions
- Other components (e.g., RTUs) are much harder to estimate



Many of these vulnerabilities affect components high up in the supply chain and trickle down to many different vendors and verticals



# A supply chain example with AMNESIA:33







#### UPS (Vendor D)

### **Open-source challenges**

#### Vulnerable code spreads widely

- Starts by forking and then evolves independently Ο
- Sometimes only a single component is used Ο
- Different versions of a stack might be forked into different projects Ο



#### Who is responsible for fixes?

- We disclosed all vulnerabilities to CERT/CC and ICS-CERT, who got the help of GitHub's security team and other coordinating Ο agencies (e.g., BSI, JPCERT)
- Despite effort, no official patches for the original uIP, Contiki, and PicoTCP, only their –NG versions Ο
- Some vendors are implementing their own patches => more code fragmentation Ο
- All of these will need to trickle down to OEMs, product vendors and end users Ο



#### New attack scenarios



#### **FORESCOUT RESEARCH LABS**

1



## Key takeaways

- TCP/IP stacks are vulnerable across the board •
- Some resilient stacks, but rule of thumb is many bugs Ο
- Vulnerabilities from well-known bad software development practices •
- Bugs in several components but they tend to correlate with protocol complexity ullet
- IoT risk management is difficult •
- Vulnerabilities affect hundreds of vendors and millions of devices Ο
- Exploitability & impact are highly device-specific Ο
- Complex supply chains make it challenging to determine which devices are affected and to eradicate the Ο vulnerabilities





**@BLACKHATEVENTS** 

# Mitigation

- It is difficult to identify assets on the network that are vulnerable
- Requires specific knowledge of how a stack behaves (accurate fingerprinting)
- Patching may be impossible
- Vendors may not know, not patch, or even be out of business
- Some specific recommendations:
- Disable or block IPv6 when not needed
- Rely on internal DNS servers for critical devices
- Monitor the network for anomalous packets
- Segment the network



### What comes next...

- Vulnerabilities resulting from protocol complexity and external dependencies in multiple stacks •
- In one case, vulnerabilities in 6 stacks Ο
- In another, vulnerabilities in 8 stacks Ο
- Both cases are reminiscent of issues seen in the 90s & early 00s Ο
- Vulnerabilities in popular closed-source stacks used in several critical devices •
- Already 8 CVEs in 2 stacks Ο
- Some popular stacks have highly vulnerable, non robust and non RFC compliant code Ο
- Discussions about the current disclosure process and how to scale it to the IoT supply chain •
- Recommendations about mitigation •



**@BLACKHATEVENTS** 

# Thank you!

# **Some Scout**

Daniel.dosSantos@forescout.com Stanislav.Dashevskyi@forescout.com Jos.Wetzels@forescout.com Amine.Amri@forescout.com

https://www.forescout.com/forescout-research-labs/

