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Goals of an Evasive Adversary

1. Blend in with “normal”
2. Exploit naive defender behaviors/methodology
3. Avoid human eyes
Subverting security solutions is simply an engineering challenge of adversaries.
Adversary Detection Methodology

1. Attack Technique Identification
2. Data Source Identification
3. Data Collection
4. Event Transport
5. Event Enrichment and Analysis
6. Malignant/Benign Classification
7. Alerting/Response

At a micro level, security products perform one or more of these
Detection Subversion Methodology

Bypassing, evading, or tampering with any steps of the detection methodology
Rationale for Targeting Sysmon

Our customers use it.

Some vendors take a dependency on it.

We are not picking on Sysmon.
Data Collector Subversion Strategies

Sysmon is a host-based data collection tool (step 2 of the detection methodology)

Analysis Strategies

1. Tool Familiarization and Scoping
2. Data Source Resilience Auditing
3. Footprint/Attack Surface Analysis
4. Data Collection Implementation Analysis
5. Configuration Analysis
1. Tool Familiarization and Scoping

Understand purpose, guarantees, and threat models

Install it, configure it, update it, use it
Tool Familiarization and Scoping

User-mode activity sensor

Standalone executable + Driver

- No centralized deployment/configuration management
- No analysis capabilities, some enrichment
- Not tamper resistant once admin
2. Data Source Resilience Auditing

What are the events and event fields?

What event fields are attacker-controlled?

What fields do defenders likely use?
Generic Rule Evasion Analysis

Identify what can be logged and attributes of the event can be influenced by an attacker (prioritizing non-admin primitives).
Sysmon Supported Rule Types

- ProcessCreate
- FileCreateTime
- NetworkConnect
- ProcessTerminate
- DriverLoad
- ImageLoad
- CreateRemoteThread

- RawAccessRead
- ProcessAccess
- FileCreate
- RegistryEvent
- FileCreateStreamHash
- PipeEvent
- WmiEvent
### ProcessCreate - Attacker-influenceable Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>User</th>
<th>ProcessGuid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CommandLine</td>
<td>ParentImage</td>
<td>ProcessId</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CurrentDirectory</td>
<td>ParentCommandLine</td>
<td>LogonGuid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>UtcTime</td>
<td>LogonId</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FileVersion</td>
<td></td>
<td>TerminalSessionId</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td></td>
<td>IntegrityLevel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParentProcessId</td>
<td></td>
<td>ParentProcessGuid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also the highest likelihood in which a rule will be written!
ProcessCreate - Attacker-influenceable Attributes

I always wanted to know how Sysmon ProcessGUIDs, ParentProcessGUIDs, and LogonGUIDs were derived. I did some reversing and figured it out. Here's a quick and dirty parser to extract the embedded data within the GUIDs. Enjoy!

https://gist.github.com/mattifestation/0102042160c9a60b2b847378c0ef70b4
Configuration Auditing - Rationale

“Adversaries will be students of your configuration to learn how to bypass/blend in.” Casey Smith and Matt Graeber, BlueHat Israel 2017
Configuration Auditing

- `sysmon.exe -c`

**PSSysmonTools**

Sysmon Tools for PowerShell

**Implemented functions**

- **Get-SysmonConfiguration**

  Parses a Sysmon driver configuration from the registry. Output is nearly identical to that of "sysmon.exe -c" but without the requirement to run `sysmon.exe`.

- Parses binary ruleset from:
  - HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\SysmonDrv\Parameters - Rules
3. Data Collection Implementation Analysis

What are the data sources?

How do defenders use the event fields?

Is collection comprehensive?
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents

Goal:

Identify a technique such that WMI persistence would never be logged.

Strategy:

Determine how WMI persistence logging is achieved.
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents

- SELECT * FROM __InstanceOperationEvent WITHIN 5 WHERE TargetInstance ISA '__EventConsumer' OR TargetInstance ISA '__EventFilter' OR TargetInstance ISA '__FilterToConsumerBinding'

- Only relevant to the root/subscription namespace
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents

Bypass #1

Persist in the root/default namespace.

```powershell
Get-WmiObject -Namespace root/default -List | ? { $_.DERIVATION[0] -eq '__EventConsumer' } |
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LogFileEventConsumer</td>
<td>{}</td>
<td>{CreatorSID, Filename, IsUnicode, MachineName...}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActiveScriptEventConsumer</td>
<td>{}</td>
<td>{CreatorSID, KillTimeout, MachineName, MaximumQueueSize...}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTEventLogEventConsumer</td>
<td>{}</td>
<td>{Category, CreatorSID, EventID, EventType...}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMTPEventConsumer</td>
<td>{}</td>
<td>{BccLine, CcLine, CreatorSID, FromLine...}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CommandLineEventConsumer</td>
<td>{}</td>
<td>{CommandLineTemplate, CreateNewConsole, CreateNewProcessG...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cons: easy to fix
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents

Can we do better?

WMI System Classes

The WMI system classes are a collection of predefined classes based on the Common Information Model (CIM). Unlike classes supplied by providers, the system classes are not declared in a Managed Object Format (MOF) file. WMI creates a set of these classes whenever a new WMI namespace is created.

__EventFilter, __EventConsumer, and __FilterToConsumerBinding are built in to every namespace!

Goal: Figure out how to implement __EventConsumer classes in arbitrary namespaces.
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents

Goal: Figure out how to implement __EventConsumer classes in arbitrary namespaces.

Strategy: Observe how they are implemented in root/subscription.
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents

scrcons.mof:

class ActiveScriptEventConsumer : __EventConsumer {
    [key] string Name;
    [not_null, write] string ScriptingEngine;
    [write] string ScriptText;
    [write] string ScriptFilename;
    [write] uint32 KillTimeout = 0; 
};

Instance of __Win32Provider as $SCRCONS_P {
    Name = "ActiveScriptEventConsumer";
    C1sid = "{266c72e7-62e8-11d1-ad89-00c04fd8fdff}";
    PerUserInitialization = TRUE;
    HostingModel = "SelfHost"; 
};

Instance of __EventConsumerProviderRegistration {
    Provider = $SCRCONS_P;
    ConsumerClassNames = {"ActiveScriptEventConsumer"}; 
};
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents

PS C:\> Get-Item 'Registry::HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\CLSID\{266C72E7-62E8-11D1-AD89-00C04FD8FDFF}\LocalServer32'

Hive: HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\CLSID\{266C72E7-62E8-11D1-AD89-00C04FD8FDFF}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LocalServer32</td>
<td>(default) : C:\Windows\system32\wbem\scrc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents

Weaponization Strategy:

Enable ActiveScriptEventConsumer and CommandLineEventConsumer class creation in any arbitrary namespace remotely.

https://gist.github.com/mattifestation/f38a79c7983208aa230030f61dfeb767

- New-ActiveScriptEventConsumerClass
- New-CommandLineEventConsumerClass

Class names can also be whatever you want. e.g. root/foo:DoNotDetectMeClass
Bypassing Sysmon WmiEvents - Detections

* Windows 10 Only
4. Footprint/Attack Surface Analysis

What things get added to the host?

How does the tool behave?

What does the tool depend on?
Sysmon Installation

Update requires uninstall + install

Behavior varies for 32-bit and 64-bit binaries
Added Components

- **Files**
  - C:\Windows\Sysmon.exe
  - C:\Windows\SysmonDrv.sys

- **Services - Sysmon and SysmonDrv**

- **Registry Keys**
  - HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Sysmon
  - HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\SysmonDrv
  - HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\SysmonDrv\Parameters
    - Only readable by admins because rules stored here

- **ETW Provider**

- **Event Log**
Installation - 32-bit Sysmon.exe on 64-bit system

64-bit installer extracted to %temp%

- DLL Hijacking
- Symlink redirection to exploit TOCTOU as well? (see James Forshaw’s work)
Event Log Installation

Event log manifest copied to unique file at `%TEMP%\MAN####.tmp`

- #### = Alpha numeric characters
Event Log Manifest Hijack

- Exhausting all MAN####.tmp options results in MAN1.tmp
- TOCOU - Strategy: use symlinks to hijack the manifest between when it was written and when wevtutil.exe uses it
Driver Analysis

- Minifilter driver with an altitude of 385201
- Need SeDebugPrivilege to interact with driver

IOCTLS

- 0x83400000 - Signal driver that a handle to it was obtained?
- 0x83400004 - Retrieves raw event information from event queue
  - Could potentially exhaust the queue
- 0x83400008 - Signals that it should consume the new registry rules config
  - Changing registry manually results in no event
- 0x8340000C - Retrieves raw ProcessCreate data for a PID
5. Configuration Analysis

How is it commonly configured?
Generic Rule Evasion Analysis

1. Include rules log potential evil.
2. Exclude rules filter out “noise”
3. A single exclude rule overrides all include rules.
4. We, as the attacker, want to be the “noise.”
5. If not feasible:
   a. Identify/develop generic bypasses
   b. Avoid certain actions (difficult in practice)
Configuration-specific Evasion Case Study

sysmon-config | A Sysmon configuration file for everybody to fork

This is a Microsoft Sysinternals Sysmon configuration file template with default high-quality event tracing.

The file provided should function as a great starting point for system change monitoring in a self-contained package. This configuration and results should give you a good idea of what’s possible for Sysmon. Note that this does not track things like authentication and other Windows events that are also vital for incident investigation.

https://github.com/SwiftOnSecurity/sysmon-config
Configuration-specific Evasion Case Study

Evasion scenario:

- An admin left their Sysmon config XML on disk.
- An elevated attacker recovered the config from registry.

Plan of Attack:

1. Identify attacker-influenceable exclude rules for each rule type
2. Form a composition of evasions
3. Where rules cannot be outright evaded, identify:
   a. Alternative, generic bypass/evasion techniques
   b. Annotate actions that should be avoided.
Exclude Rule Evasion Candidates:

```
<CommandLine condition="contains">AcroRd32.exe" /CR </CommandLine>
<CommandLine condition="contains">AcroRd32.exe" --channel= </CommandLine>
```

Action:

- Include “AcroRd32.exe” strings in command-line invocations

Rationale:

- So long as the command line string contains this string anywhere, our malicious program will evade all ProcessCreate actions.
Exclude Rule Evasion Candidates:

\[
<\text{Image condition=\textit{image}} > \text{OneDrive.exe} </\text{Image}>
<\text{Image condition=\textit{contains}} > \text{setup} </\text{Image}>
<\text{Image condition=\textit{end with}} > \text{redist.exe} </\text{Image}>
\]

Action:

- Drop to directory containing “setup” or name EXE “OneDrive.exe” or “redist.exe”

Rationale:

- All of these are attacker-controllable. The “contains” rules are likely ideal from an evasion perspective as they are more composable.
NetworkConnect

Exclude Rule Evasion Candidates:

<Image condition="image">OneDrive.exe</Image>

Action:

- Name malicious EXE “OneDrive.exe”

Rationale:

- This exclude rule is attacker-controllable. The downside is that the “image” attribute is not the most ideal for composability. One upside is that this exclude rule also resides in the FileCreateTime ruleset.
ProcessTerminate

Avoidance Rule:

\(<\text{Image\ condition="begin\ with"}>C:\\text{Users}</\text{Image}>\)

Action:

- Avoid dropping your code to “C:\Users”.

Rationale:

- Since no exclude rules are present, we must resort to tradecraft avoidance.
DriverLoad

Exclude Rule Evasion Candidates:

- `<Signature condition="contains">microsoft</Signature>`
- `<Signature condition="contains">windows</Signature>`
- `<Signature condition="begin with">Intel</Signature>`

Action:

- Our tradecraft is likely to avoid loading drivers anyway
- Signature rules vulnerable to cert cloning attack. Test-signing required to load.

Rationale:

- An attacker controls the Subject field of the certificate that they use to sign their code.
CreateRemoteThread

Exclude Rule Evasion Candidates:

- None are ideal

Action:

- Employ generic evasion: QueueUserApc

Rationale:

- Exclude rules would require that you be running elevated. If process injection is required, use QueueUserApc

Bonus: Evasion research opportunities abusing the StartModule rule...
FileCreate

Action:

- Avoid dropping code with common executable file types.
- Rule is evaded if you change the extension after dropping the file.

Rationale:

- No ideal exclude rules are present, so we must resort to tradecraft avoidance.
RegistryEvent

Exclude Rule Evasion Candidates:

<Image condition="end with">Office\root\integration\integrator.exe</Image>

Action:

- Have the full path end in this string.

Possible Conflict:

- Previous evasion candidates were selected where the filename was “OneDrive.exe”. We will need to manage this conflict - e.g. an IPC mechanism.
WmiEvent

Action:

- A generic evasion for WmiEvents exists. Avoid using the root/subscription namespace. Use root/default or ideally, a namespace created by the attacker.
- Ideally opt for ActiveScriptEventConsumer.

Rationale:

- root/subscription persistence is detected. CommandLineEventConsumer spawns a more detectable child process of wmiprvse.exe.
Overall Rule Composition

Evasion Candidates:

<CommandLine condition="contains">AcroRd32.exe" /CR </CommandLine>
<Image condition="contains">setup</Image>
<Image condition="image">OneDrive.exe</Image>
<Image condition="end with">Office\root\integration\integrator.exe</Image>

Resulting Evasive Invocation (e.g. PowerShell in disguise):

C:\setup\Office\root\integration\integrator.exe
-version 2 "& {iex(gc C:\setup\Office\root\integration\integrator.txt);
null = 'AcroRd32.exe" /CR '}"
Sysmon Conclusions

- Effective, semi-robust tool to supplement detection data sources
- Has some weaknesses, but easily fixable
- Not a security solution - needs log forwarding and proactive detection engineering team
- Collection rules leave us wanting
- No tampering protections against administrators
Conclusions

● Attackers will continue to subvert products

● Vendors
  ○ Are you doing subversion research into your product?
  ○ Tampering, evasion, bypassing

● Defenders
  ○ Are you asking vendors the extent of their threat model?
  ○ Are you aware of the resilience of your security products?
Thank you!